

Characterization of Fourier transform of H-valued functions on the Real line

Md Hasan Ali Biswas

Department of Mathematics
IIT Madras

January 5, 2022



DMHA-17
NISER Bhubaneswar

Outline

- 1 A brief literature survey
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 The Hilbert C^* -module $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$
- 4 Fourier transform
- 5 The main results
- 6 References

- Jaming[]- A characterization of Fourier transform ¹

Theorem 1

Let $T : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ be a continuous linear operator satisfying $T(f \star g) = T(f)T(g)$. Then there exist $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $T(f)(\xi) = \chi_E(\xi)\widehat{f}(\phi(\xi))$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

¹P. Jaming, A characterization of Fourier transforms, *Colloq. Math.* **118** (2010), 569-580.

A brief literature survey

- Lakshmi Lavanya and Thangavelu[] - A characterisation of the Weyl transform ²
- Lakshmi Lavanya and Thangavelu[] - A characterisation of the Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group ³
- Shravan and Sivananthan- A characterisation of the Fourier transform on a non-abelian compact group ⁴

²R. L. Lavanya and S. Thangavelu, A characterisation of the Weyl transform, *Adv. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (2012), no. 1, 113-122.

³R. L. Lavanya and S. Thangavelu, A characterisation of the Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **3** (2012), no. 1, 109-120.

⁴N. S. Kumar and S. Sivananthan, Characterisation of the Fourier transform on compact groups, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **93** (2016), no. 3, 467-472.

Our problem

We wish to ask the same question for H -valued functions on \mathbb{R} , where H is a Hilbert C^* -module.

Definition 2

A C^* -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach algebra equipped with an operator $x \mapsto x^*$ (called *involution*) with the following properties.

- (i) $(ax + y)^* = \bar{a}x^* + y^*$, $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$;
 - (ii) $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$, $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$;
 - (iii) $(x^*)^* = x$, $x \in \mathcal{A}$; and
 - (iv) $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$, $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
- If there exists $e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $ae = ea = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then \mathcal{A} is called a *unital* C^* -algebra.

Example 3

- (i) The space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space.
- (ii) The set of all continuous functions vanishing at infinity on a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Hilbert C^* -module was first introduced by Kaplansky⁵. For a study of Hilbert C^* -modules, we refer to⁶.

⁵I. Kaplansky, Modules over operator algebras, *Amer. J. Math.*, **75** (1953), 839-858.

⁶E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

Definition 4

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and H be a left \mathcal{A} -module (which is also a complex vector space) such that the linear structure on \mathcal{A} and H are compatible, i.e. $\lambda(ax) = a(\lambda x) = (\lambda a)x$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in H$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a mapping satisfying

- (i) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ for every $x \in H$ and $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (ii) $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$ for every $x, y, z \in H$,
- (iii) $\langle ax, y \rangle = a\langle x, y \rangle$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x, y \in H$,
- (iv) $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$ for every $x, y \in H$.

Then the pair $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called a *pre Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module*. The map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called an *\mathcal{A} -valued inner product*. If H is complete with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ then it is called a *Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module*.

A *Hilbert submodule* of a Hilbert module H is a closed submodule of H .

Example 5

- (i) Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* algebra. Then \mathcal{A} is a Hilbert C^* -module over itself with respect to the inner product $\langle a, b \rangle = ab^*$.
- (ii) Let $H_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ be a collection of Hilbert C^* -modules over a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Then $H = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n H_k$ is a Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{A} with respect to the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle_H = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_k, y_k \rangle_{H_k}$, for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in H$.

Remark 6

Let H be a pre Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module and $x, y \in H$. Then one has the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in H : $\|\langle x, y \rangle\|^2 \leq \|\langle x, x \rangle\| \|\langle y, y \rangle\|$.

- Now we shall show that if $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a pre Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module, then its completion \overline{H} turns out to be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module. Clearly, \overline{H} is a Banach space.
 - For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in \overline{H}$, define

$$ax = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ax_n,$$

where $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in H converging to x .

- It is easy to see that \overline{H} becomes an \mathcal{A} -module with respect to the operation defined above.

- For $x, y \in \overline{H}$ define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ on \overline{H} by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, y_n \rangle,$$

where $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ are two sequences in H converging to x, y respectively.

- It is easy to verify that $(\overline{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ is a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module.

Definition 7

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert C^* -module. A map $T : H \rightarrow H$ (a priori neither linear nor bounded) is said to be *adjointable* if there exists a map $T^* : H \rightarrow H$ satisfying

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H.$$

An adjointable operator T is said to be *unitary* if $TT^* = T^*T = I$, where I is the identity operator on H .

Remark 8

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module and $T : H \rightarrow H$ be an adjointable operator. Then T is unitary iff T is onto and preserves the \mathcal{A} -valued inner product.

Definition 9

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert C^* -module. Then $x \in H$ is said to be *orthogonal* to $y \in H$ if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ and is denoted by $x \perp y$. If $U \subset H$ is a Hilbert submodule, then $U^\perp = \{x \in H : \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in U\}$ is called the *orthogonal complement* of U . The sum $\{u_1 + u_2 : u_i \in U_i, i = 1, 2\}$ of two submodules U_1, U_2 is called *direct* when $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{0\}$ and *orthogonal* when $U_1 \perp U_2$. We shall denote the orthogonal direct sum of U_1 and U_2 by $U_1 \oplus U_2$. A Hilbert submodule $U \subset H$ is said to be *complementable* if $H = U \oplus V$ for some submodule $V \subset H$.

Remark 10

Let H be a Hilbert C^* -module such that $H = U \oplus V$. Then $U^\perp = V$, $V^\perp = U$.

Remark 11

Let H be a Hilbert C^* -module and $T : H \rightarrow H$ be an adjointable operator with closed range then $H = \text{Ker}T^* \oplus \text{Range}(T)$. In particular, $\text{Range}(T)$ is complementable.

Definition 12 ([8])

Let H be a Hilbert C^* -module over a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . A system $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ of vectors from H is said to be orthonormal if $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}e$, where e is the identity element of \mathcal{A} . An orthonormal system is said to be an orthonormal basis for H if for every $x \in H$ there exist $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x = \sum_{i \in I} a_i e_i$.

Example 13

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra. Consider the Hilbert C^* -module $H = \underbrace{\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}}_{n\text{-times}}$. Then $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H , where $e_i = (0, 0, \dots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ i\text{-th}}}{e}, \dots, 0)$ and e is the identity element of \mathcal{A} .

The Hilbert C^* -module $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$

- Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module. Define

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}, H) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(x)\|^2 dx < \infty\}.$$

Then we know that $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ is a Banach space.

- For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ define

$$(af)(x) = af(x).$$

Then $af \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$. Hence $(a, f) \mapsto af$ defines a map from $\mathcal{A} \times L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$. It is easy to see that with respect to this operation $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ becomes an \mathcal{A} -module.

- For $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ define $\langle f, g \rangle_2$ by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle dx.$$

Then using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for H and for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we can show that the definition is well defined.

The Hilbert C^* -module $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$

Lemma 14

Let X be a Banach space, $T \in X^*$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be integrable. Then

$$T\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} T(f(x))dx.$$

Lemma 15

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an integrable function. Then

- (i) $f(x) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ implies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx \geq 0$.
- (ii) $x \mapsto (f(x))^*$ is integrable and $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx\right)^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)^*dx$.

The Hilbert C^* -module $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$

Theorem 16

The space $(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ is a pre Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module.

We shall denote $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ to be the completion of $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ with respect to the norm induced by the \mathcal{A} -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$.

Definition 17

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$. Then the Fourier transform of f is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the right hand side is a Bochner integral.

Theorem 18 (Riemann-Lebesgue lemma)

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, then $\widehat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$.

Theorem 19 (Fourier inversion)

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ be such that $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, then

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The main results

Theorem 20 (Plancherel theorem)

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, then $\langle f, f \rangle_2 = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{f} \rangle_2$.

Theorem 21

The Fourier transform is unitary on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$.

The main results

- Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$. Then the convolution of f and g , denoted by $f \star g$, is defined as

$$f \star g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle f(y), g(x-y) \rangle dy, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $F(x) = \|f(x)\|$ and $G(x) = \|g(x)\|$. Then using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we can see that $f \star g$ is well defined and $f \star g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{A})$. Further as in the classical case, we can prove the following properties.

- (i) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$, $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$ then $f \star g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{A})$.
- (ii) If $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$ then $f \star g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{A})$.
- (iii) If $1 < p < \infty$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$ and $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, then $f \star g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{A})$.

The main results

- For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{A})$, $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, we define

$$f \odot g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)g(x-y)dy, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $f \odot g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$.

Proposition 22

If $f, g, h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, then $(f \star g) \odot h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$.

Theorem 23

Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$. Then

$$(f \star g)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \langle \widehat{f}(\xi), \widehat{g}(-\xi) \rangle, \text{ for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The main results

Theorem 24

Let $f, g, h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$. Then

$$((f \star g) \odot h)^\wedge(\xi) = \langle \hat{f}(\xi), \hat{g}(-\xi) \rangle \hat{h}(\xi), \text{ for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proposition 25

Let $T : \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ be bounded, linear and of the form $T = S \otimes I$, where $S \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, I is the identity operator on \mathbb{H} such that T commutes with translations. Then there exists $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(Tf)^\wedge(\xi) = m(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The main results

Remark 26

Let $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Define $T_m : \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ by

$$(T_m f)^\wedge(\xi) = m(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi).$$

Then

$$\|T_m f\|_2 = \|(T_m f)^\wedge\|_2 = \|m\hat{f}\|_2 \leq \|m\|_\infty \|\hat{f}\|_2 = \|m\|_\infty \|f\|_2.$$

Thus T_m turns out to be a continuous linear operator on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$. Further, T_m commutes with translations. As in the classical case $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we can say that m is a Fourier multiplier for $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$. On the other hand from Proposition 25 we assure that if $T \in B(\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}))$ is of the form $S \otimes I$, with $S \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, I is the identity operator on \mathbb{H} and commutes with translations, then $T = T_m$ for some $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

The main results

Theorem 27

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module. Let $T : \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, H) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ be a bounded, linear and surjective map satisfying

- (i) $T((f \star g) \odot h)(\xi) = \langle Tf(\xi), Tg(-\xi) \rangle Th(\xi)$, for $f, g, h \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, $(f \star g) \odot h \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (ii) $T(\tau_s f)(\xi) = e^{-2\pi i s \xi} Tf(\xi)$, $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, $s, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (iii) T is of the form $S \otimes I$ for some $S \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ and I is the identity operator on H and
- (iv) $T(-f)(-\xi) = Tf(\xi)$, $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then $\langle Tf(\xi), Tg(\xi) \rangle = \langle \widehat{f}(\xi), \widehat{g}(\xi) \rangle$, $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Outline of proof I

- Define $U : \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ by $Uf = g$ if $Tf = \widehat{g}$. Then

$$(Uf)^\wedge(\xi) = \widehat{g}(\xi) = Tf(\xi).$$

- Clearly U is bounded linear and onto.
- Let $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ be such that $(f_1 \star f_2) \odot f_3 \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$. For $i = 1, 2, 3$ let $Uf_i = g_i$ and $U((f_1 \star f_2) \odot f_3) = g$. Then $Tf_i = \widehat{g}_i$ and $T((f_1 \star f_2) \odot f_3) = \widehat{g}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.
- But

$$\begin{aligned} T((f_1 \star f_2) \odot f_3)(\xi) &= ((g_1 \star g_2) \odot g_3)^\wedge(\xi) \\ &= ((Uf_1 \star Uf_2) \odot Uf_3)^\wedge(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

- Hence $U((f_1 \star f_2) \odot f_3) = (Uf_1 \star Uf_2) \odot Uf_3$. Further, we can show that $U\tau_t = \tau_t U$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Outline of proof II

- Let $f = F \otimes u$ be a basic tensor in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$. Then

$$T(F \otimes u) = SF \otimes u.$$

- Define $S_1 : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by $S_1(F) = G$ if $SF = \widehat{G}$. Then

$$Uf = G \otimes u = S_1F \otimes u = (S_1 \otimes I)(F \otimes u).$$

Hence $U = S_1 \otimes I$.

- Using Proposition 25 there exists $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(Uf)^\wedge(\xi) = m(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

- Thus $\langle (Uf_1)^\wedge(\xi), (Uf_2)^\wedge(-\xi) \rangle (Uf_3)^\wedge(\xi) = \langle \widehat{f}_1(\xi), \widehat{f}_2(-\xi) \rangle (Uf_3)^\wedge(\xi)$.
- Using ontoeness of U we can show that

$$\langle Tf_1(\xi), Tf_2(\xi) \rangle = \langle \widehat{f}_1(\xi), \widehat{f}_2(\xi) \rangle.$$

The main results

Corollary 28

If in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 27, T satisfies $T(g_k) = g_k$ where $g_k(x) = e^{-\pi x^2} e_k$ for some countable orthonormal basis $\{e_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of H then $Tf(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi)$, $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof.

Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$. Then

$$\langle Tf(\xi), Tg_k(\xi) \rangle = \langle \widehat{f}(\xi), \widehat{g}_k(\xi) \rangle.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\langle Tf(\xi), e_k \rangle = \langle \widehat{f}(\xi), e_k \rangle,$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. □

The main results

Theorem 29

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a separable Hilbert space with countable orthonormal basis $\{e_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $T : L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$ be a bounded linear map satisfying

- (i) $T((f \star g) \odot h)(\xi) = \langle Tf(\xi), Tg(-\xi) \rangle Th(\xi)$, for $f, g, h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (ii) $T(\tau_s f)(\xi) = e^{-2\pi i s \xi} Tf(\xi)$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$, $s, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (iii) If $\langle f(x), e_k \rangle = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for some k then $\langle Tf(\xi), e_k \rangle = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and
- (iv) $T(-f)(-\xi) = Tf(\xi)$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then there exists $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle Tf(\xi), Tg(\xi) \rangle = \chi_E(\xi) \langle \widehat{f}(\xi), \widehat{g}(\xi) \rangle, \quad f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}), \quad \xi \in E.$$

Outline of proof I

- Let $E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : \langle Tf(\xi), e_j \rangle \neq 0, \text{ for some } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}) \text{ and for some } j \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
- Fix $\xi \in E$. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$ such that $\langle Th(\xi), e_k \rangle \neq 0$.
- Define $P_k : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$P_k\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i\right) = \alpha_k.$$

- Then there exists $u_{\xi,k} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$ such that

$$P_k(Tf(\xi)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle f(t), u_{\xi,k}(t) \rangle dt.$$

- One can show that $u_{\xi,k}(t) \perp e_i$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \neq k$. Let $u_{\xi,k}(t) = \overline{v_{\xi,k}(t)} e_k$, for some $v_{\xi,k} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Outline of proof II

- Thus, $P_k(Tf(\xi)) = \langle \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{\xi,k}(t)f(t)dt, e_k \rangle$.
- Now for $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}P_k(T((f \star g) \odot h)(\xi)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \star g(s) \langle T(\tau_s h)(\xi), e_k \rangle ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \star g(s) e^{-2\pi i s \xi} \langle Th(\xi), e_k \rangle ds \\ &= \langle Th(\xi), e_k \rangle \langle \widehat{f}(\xi), \widehat{g}(-\xi) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

- But $P_k(T((f \star g) \odot h)(\xi)) = \langle Tf(\xi), Tg(-\xi) \rangle \langle Th(\xi), e_k \rangle$.
- Hence

$$\langle Tf(\xi), Tg(\xi) \rangle = \langle \widehat{f}(\xi), \widehat{g}(\xi) \rangle.$$

The main results

Corollary 30






If in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 29, T satisfies $T(g_k) = g_k$ where $g_k(x) = e^{-\pi x^2} e_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $Tf(\xi) = \chi_E(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

This talk is based on the following paper.






Ali Biswas, Md Hasan and Radha, Ramakrishnan, Characterization of Fourier transform of H -valued functions on the Real line, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **51** (2021), no. 1, 43-53.

References I

-  E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
-  P. Jaming, A characterization of Fourier transforms, *Colloq. Math.* **118** (2010), 569-580.
-  M. S. Jakobsen, On a (no longer) new Segal algebra: a review of the Feichtinger algebra, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl* (ISSN 0003-9527), **24** (2018) 1579-1660.
-  I. Kaplansky, Modules over operator algebras, *Amer. J. Math.*, **75** (1953), 839-858.
-  R. L. Lavanya and S. Thangavelu, A characterisation of the Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **3** (2012), no. 1, 109-120.

References II

-  R. L. Lavanya and S. Thangavelu, A characterisation of the Weyl transform, *Adv. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (2012), no. 1, 113-122.
-  N. S. Kumar and S. Sivananthan, Characterisation of the Fourier transform on compact groups, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **93** (2016), no. 3, 467-472.
-  Landi, G. and Pavlov, A., On orthogonal systems in Hilbert C^* -modules *J. Operator Theory* **68** (2012), no. 2, 487–500.

THANK YOU